

# **Mining Agreements Intensive**

***Perth***

***Wednesday, 28 March 2018***

***9:00am to 1:15pm***

## **CLE/CPD ACCREDITATION**

If this particular educational activity is relevant to your immediate or long-term needs in relation to your professional development and practice of the law, then you are eligible to claim one (1) 'unit' per hour of attendance, refreshment breaks not included.

## **PREPARED & PRESENTED BY**

Goran Galic, Partner, Allen & Overy

John Southalan, Barrister, WA Bar Association

Luke Nicholls, Counsel, Allen & Overy

Mark Gerus, Barrister, Francis Burt Chambers

Leigh Warnick, Barrister, Francis Burt Chambers - Chair

© 2018. Copyright in this material is retained by the authors.

A licence to publish in this format has been granted to Legalwise Seminars Pty Ltd. Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part of these materials may be reproduced by any process without written permission by the author.

#### Disclaimer

The statements, analyses, opinions and conclusions in these materials are those of the author and not of Legalwise Seminars Pty Ltd which acts only in the capacity as convenor of educational courses.

No part of any paper can be regarded as legal advice. Although all care has been taken in preparing all papers, readers must not alter their position or refrain from doing so in reliance on any paper. Neither the author nor Legalwise Seminars Pty Ltd accept or undertake any duty of care relating to any part of any paper.

All enquiries should be directed to Legalwise Seminars Pty Ltd.

Legalwise Seminars Pty Ltd (ABN 40 049 329 749) (ACN 102 742 843)

Level 2 97 Grafton Street, Bondi Junction NSW 2022

PO Box 971 Bondi Junction 1355

DX 12072 Bondi Junction

Ph: (02) 9387 8133

Fax: (02) 9387 8711

Website: [www.legalwiseseminars.com.au](http://www.legalwiseseminars.com.au)

# Program

Mining Agreements Intensive

Wednesday, 28 March 2018

Parmelia Hilton, 14 Mill Street, Perth

- 9:00am ***Opening Comments by the Chair***  
Leigh Warnick, Barrister, Francis Burt Chambers
- 
- 9.00am to 10.00am ***Mining Rights Agreements***  
Goran Galic, Partner, Allen & Overy
- 
- 10:00am – 11:00am ***Mining State Agreements: Understanding the Framework***  
John Southalan, Barrister, WA Bar Association
- 
- 11.00am to 11.15am ***Morning Tea***
- 
- 11:15am - 12:15pm ***Sale Agreements for Mining and Resources Projects***  
Luke Nicholls, Counsel, Allen & Overy
- 
- 12:15pm - 1:15pm ***Mining Tenements - Key Issues in Acquisition, Management and***  
Mark Gerus, Barrister, Francis Burt Chambers
-

# Mining State Agreements: Understanding the Framework

**John Southalan**  
*Barrister*  
WA Bar Association

**legalwise**  
S E M I N A R S

[www.legalwiseseminars.com.au](http://www.legalwiseseminars.com.au)



Legalwise Mining Agreements Intensive  
28 March 2018

## Mining State Agreements: Understanding the Framework

### 1 Western Australia – State of [agreement] Excitement

A State Agreement is the legislative approval of a contract between the executive government and a company to build/operate a specified development. Most State Agreements comprise a long contract between the company and the executive (eg. Minister, Premier) which is scheduled to a short covering statute documenting the legislature's approval. This enables government and company to structure regulation for the operation where existing law is absent or inappropriate.<sup>1</sup>

Western Australia, of anywhere in world, makes the most use of State Agreements in regulating mining projects and associated infrastructure.<sup>2</sup> In WA, over 60 extractives projects currently operate under State Agreements, which is about 80% (value) of minerals & petroleum produced in WA.<sup>3</sup> The State Agreement structure is used in many areas outside mining, including oil & gas projects,<sup>4</sup> land & industrial developments,<sup>5</sup> inter-governmental agreements,<sup>6</sup> entertainment & shopping complexes<sup>7</sup> and agreements with Indigenous groups.<sup>8</sup> WA is the only Australian state with a statute specifically for these legal structures.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> eg. *Commissioner State Revenue -v- OZ Minerals* [2013] WASCA 239, [179].

<sup>2</sup> Horsley 2013, 284.

<sup>3</sup> Barnett 2014, 13.

<sup>4</sup> eg. *Gorgon Agreement* (2003).

<sup>5</sup> eg. *Albany Plantation Agreement* (1993), *Cockburn Cement Agreement* (1971), *Dampier Salt Agreement* (1967), *Ord Hydro Agreement* (1994), *Alumina Refinery Agreement*, (1961).

<sup>6</sup> eg. *Commonwealth - State Financial Relations Agreement* (1927).

<sup>7</sup> eg. *Burswood Agreement* (1985), *Morley Shopping Centre Agreement* (1992).

<sup>8</sup> eg. *Bronze Agreement* (2012).

<sup>9</sup> *Government Agreements Act 1979* (WA).

Many legal disputes and court cases involve State Agreements, ranging well beyond traditional ‘mining law’, eg:

- environmental protest obstructing work, criminal law;<sup>10</sup>
- parliamentary power to cancel mining rights;<sup>11</sup>
- effect on native title rights and interaction of common law and statute;<sup>12</sup>
- relation between historic & current mining statutes;<sup>13</sup>
- control of port infrastructure, and arrangements with third parties;<sup>14</sup>
- tax and other arrangements between joint venturers;<sup>15</sup>
- executive government preferencing of established operators;<sup>16</sup>
- competition law and rail access;<sup>17</sup> and
- company’s entitlement to remove resources, third party rights to contest.<sup>18</sup>

State Agreements are less frequent these days for new mining projects.<sup>19</sup> But there are many large, existing projects operating under State Agreements which are structured to regulate each operation for its entire life.

## 2 ‘State Agreements and the Famous Five’ ways of regulating infrastructure

A State Agreement is just one way to regulate a large infrastructure project.<sup>20</sup> Four other ways which are/have been commonly used are:

- (1) direct government involvement,
- (2) project-specific legislation,
- (3) through contracts without parliamentary ratification, and
- (4) through general law applicable in the jurisdiction.

---

<sup>10</sup> *Margetts -v- Campbell-Foulkes* (unreported, WASC, 29 November 1979, FC, SCL 2764). *Buzzacott -v- Sustainability Minister* [2013] FCAFC 111

<sup>11</sup> *Comalco -v- Att Gen (QLD)* [1976] Qd R 231.

<sup>12</sup> *WA -v- Brown* [2014] HCA 8.

<sup>13</sup> *WA -v- Graham* [2016] FCAFC 47.

<sup>14</sup> *Mineralogy P/L -v- Sino Iron* [2017] FCAFC 55.

<sup>15</sup> *Hancock Prospecting -v- Wright Prospecting* [2012] WASCA 216.

<sup>16</sup> *Re: Minister for Resources; ex p Kazaly Iron* [2007] WASCA 175.

<sup>17</sup> *Pilbara Infrastructure -v- ACT* [2012] HCA 36.

<sup>18</sup> *Buurabalayji Thalanyji -v- Onslow Salt* [2017] WASC 19.

<sup>19</sup> The most recent new (as opposed to amendment of existing) State Agreement for a mining project is the *FMG Agreement* (2005). Recent State Agreements include *Canning Basin Agreement* (2012), *BBI Rail Agreement* (2017), and *Roy Hill Infrastructure Agreement* (2010).

<sup>20</sup> Other options are summarised in AUS Gov 2013, 71 & 75.

The objective ‘ideal’ is through (4) but that is not always achievable.<sup>21</sup> These days, (1) & (3) are rare, and legally difficult for mining in Australia because management of public land and resources must be by parliamentary law, not executive prerogative.<sup>22</sup>

State Agreements, in mining projects, usually provide a structure for the operations to be proposed and approved in stages, known as the ‘proposals procedure’.<sup>23</sup> This involves the company first submitting a proposal, which the government then considers/requests revision and, when the government has approved the proposal, the company is then *obligated* to implement that approved proposal.<sup>24</sup>

The State Agreement specifies the proposals required to come from the company, for example:<sup>25</sup>

- provide a feasibility study of \$A for whole operation;
- submit a mine-plan for a mine of B magnitude, and then constructing that;
- operate a mine to extract C tons/year;
- provide mining infrastructure to process/transport D amount;
- ensure social infrastructure for E people; and/or
- have environmental management to ensure F outcomes.

The ‘usual’ State Agreement content has changed over time. Earlier versions gave extensive land with little control over operations (similar to other forms of mining regulation at that time) but contemporary State Agreements have greater attention to community impacts and lesser exemptions from general laws.<sup>26</sup> South Australia’s *Olympic Dam Agreement*<sup>27</sup> was described as the ‘modern paradigm’ in 2005.<sup>28</sup> More recent developments in WA have seen increased requirements for local industry and community development, through a planning and reporting structure.<sup>29</sup>

---

<sup>21</sup> Cameron & Stanley 2017, 61-62 & 88-89; Southalan & o’rs 2015, [6]-[9].

<sup>22</sup> *Forrest & Forrest P/L -v- Wilson* [2017] HCA 30, [65] & [69].

<sup>23</sup> Hunt & o’rs 2015, 16; eg. *Commissioner State Revenue -v- OZ Minerals* [2013] WASCA 239, [180]-[183].

<sup>24</sup> *Mineralogy P/L -v- Sino Iron* [2017] FCAFC 55, [229] & [232].

<sup>25</sup> Summarised from Southalan & o’rs 2015, [8].

<sup>26</sup> Southalan & o’rs 2015, [17]. This is consistent with contemporary ‘best practice’ of mining regulation, which encourages balancing of impacts and benefits across mine-life, eg. NRGi 2014; Cameron & Stanley 2017.

<sup>27</sup> *Olympic Dam Agreement* (1982).

<sup>28</sup> Fitzgerald 2005, 687.

<sup>29</sup> eg. *Canning Basin Agreement* (2012), cl 6 & 7; and these frameworks have also been added to earlier State agreements eg. *Iron Ore Agreements Legislation Amendment Act 2011 (WA)*.

The autonomy which State Agreements provided in previous decades is diminished in contemporary times.

- State Agreement operations are subject to increasing regulation of particular aspects by structures outside WA, including Commonwealth laws (eg. environmental biodiversity, native title, Indigenous heritage) and international law (eg. international investment law, anti-corruption measures, human rights).
- Western Australia also has more extensive procedures for making regulation, making a different context within which any new State Agreement is made (eg. regulatory impact assessment<sup>30</sup>), and any decision-making and processing by government agencies (eg. application of freedom of information<sup>31</sup>).

International human rights mechanisms and standards, in particular, provide increasing responsibilities on companies regardless of the law of the specific jurisdiction.<sup>32</sup>

- International procedures have rejected claims of breach where the relevant domestic law and engagement process was extensive.<sup>33</sup>
- International procedures have identified state/company failures where the domestic laws or engagement was inadequate.<sup>34</sup>
- Many human rights *can* be limited through parliamentary laws, but at an international level this is not recognised unless there has been careful parliamentary /judicial review of the necessity of limitations.<sup>35</sup>

### 3 When is a statute not a statute? When it's a contract

Legally, the terms in a State Agreement can be one of two broad options, either: remaining clauses of a contract (between the two parties), or having direct statutory force (generally binding everyone). The 'default' situation is the terms of a State Agreement are not given statutory force

---

<sup>30</sup> WA Gov 2010.

<sup>31</sup> Applied in a State Agreement context in *McGowan -v- Minister for Regional Development & Mineralogy P/L* [2011] WAICmr 2 (politician FOI request for documents concerning director and a company which held a State Agreement).

<sup>32</sup> Southalan 2016.

<sup>33</sup> eg. *Länsman -v- FIN* (1994, UN doc CCPR/C/52/D/511/1992) (quarrying impact on reindeer herding); *Mabuika -v- NZL* (2000, UN doc CCPR/C/70/D/547/1993) (fishing permits and indigenous rights); NOR NCP 2016, (the laws and engagement regarding impact assessment were sufficient).

<sup>34</sup> eg. *CERD Decision 2(54) on Australia* (1999) (parts of 1998 native title amendments); NOR NCP 2011 (domestic law certification regarding consultation was insufficient).

<sup>35</sup> eg. allowed in *Animal Defenders -v- GBR* (2013, ECHR Application 48876/08) (controls on political advertising), but rejected in *Hirst v GBR* (2005, ECHR Application no 74025/01) (prisoners' right to vote).



*unless* that is specifically stated in the parliamentary statute.<sup>36</sup> The status of the agreement (eg. contract or statute) is relevant in how to interpret the document and what implications it has for third parties.

Even if not a statute, there are variations in the extent of parliament's approval/endorsement of the agreement terms.<sup>37</sup>

Parliament can indicate which laws takes priority in the event of any inconsistency (eg. State Agreement terms, or other statutory law). If the particular matter is not addressed, any areas of inconsistency then fall to be addressed through interpretation and construction of the relevant documents.<sup>38</sup> This has resulted in the relationship between State Agreements and existing law being addressed within each the particular dispute, rather than in a context of uniform constitutional theory.

- The Full Federal Court in 2016 examined mining leases granted pursuant to a State Agreement and ruled: "The State cannot, by contract, give to itself a right to alienate Crown land. Accordingly, the government agreement in such a case cannot be the source of power to grant a mining lease. The source of power remains the [mining] Act".<sup>39</sup>
- A subsequent Full Federal Court suggested that parliamentary ratification overcomes contractual inabilities of the executive: "The State Agreement was then ratified by the Parliament ... Ratification by Parliament of the State Agreement was necessary because dealing in Crown land, including minerals, can only be authorised and supported by Statute: *Western Australian Constitution Act 1890 (Imp)*".<sup>40</sup>

## 4 Enforcement / implementation – who and how

The legal status of proposals, and their implications, is likely to be area of increasing attention.

The 'usual' State Agreement terms mean:

- the Government cannot reject a proposal, but can only approve or suggest changes;<sup>41</sup>

---

<sup>36</sup> *Hancock Prospecting -v- BHP Minerals* [2003] WASCA 259, [65]-[66]; *WA -v- Graham* [2016] FCAFC 47, [38].

<sup>37</sup> A useful schema is provided in Warnick 1998, 882-890.

<sup>38</sup> eg. later law can impliedly repeal earlier inconsistent law, but a general law is subject to a specific law (cases summarised in Southalan 2013, 174-175) recent examples are *BHP Coal -v- Resources Minister (Qld)* [2011] QSC 246, [33]-[46] and *Genbow P/L -v- Griffin Coal* [2013] WAMW 11, [79]-90] & [99]-[108].

<sup>39</sup> *WA -v- Graham* [2016] FCAFC 47, [38]. Special leave to appeal was refused in *Graham -v- St Ives Gold* [2016] HCATrans 241.

<sup>40</sup> *Mineralogy P/L -v- Sino Iron* [2017] FCAFC 55, [195].

<sup>41</sup> *Mineralogy -v- WA* [2005] WASCA 69, [34].

- a document requires some connection with the project in order to be a proposal, eg. ‘in relation to’, ‘in conformity with the primary purpose’<sup>42</sup> – so something not ‘relevantly related to/connected with a Project’ is not a proposal which the Government can consider;<sup>43</sup>
- however a document need not comply with every detail of a State Agreement in order to be a ‘proposal’ the Government must consider – the essence is a document describing a project and the methods or plan to be used to achieve performance of that project;<sup>44</sup>
- the executive government does not have complete autonomy to deal with public land and resources because that is parliament’s remit;<sup>45</sup> BUT,
- parliamentary ‘delegation’ of decisions and structures to the executive is a common and legally valid regulatory form (eg. in framework legislation<sup>46</sup> and Henry VIII clauses<sup>47</sup>).

A generalisation might, therefore, be as follows. Absent any specific requirement of parliamentary oversight/reporting of proposals in the particular State Agreement in question, there seems little *legal* basis which obligates such a role for parliament.<sup>48</sup>

Enforcement by company:

- where State Agreement creates a *duty* on the government to do something, then the courts can enforce that,<sup>49</sup> but where no duty is created by the State Agreement then damages are likely the only remedy for breach;<sup>50</sup>
- judicial review of government action under a State Agreement can be available;<sup>51</sup>
- there has been one arbitration against WA Government (regarding approval of proposals).<sup>52</sup>

---

<sup>42</sup> *Mineralogy -v- WA* [2005] WASCA 69, [67].

<sup>43</sup> *Mineralogy -v- WA* [2005] WASCA 69, [68] & [1].

<sup>44</sup> *Mineralogy -v- WA (Award)* (2014), [55] & [24].

<sup>45</sup> *Forrest & Forrest P/L -v- Wilson* [2017] HCA 30, [65] & [69]; *Wright Prospecting -v- Hancock Prospecting* [2016] WASCA 50, [40]-[41].

<sup>46</sup> eg. AUS Plmnt 2012, ch 5.

<sup>47</sup> eg. ‘[A] statute conferring upon the Executive a power to legislate upon some matter contained within one of the subjects of the legislative power of the Parliament is a law with respect to that subject, and that the distribution of legislative, executive and judicial powers in the Constitution does not operate to restrain the power of the Parliament to make such a law’: *Victorian Stevedoring -v- Dignan* [1931] HCA 34, 101 per Dixon J (Rich J agreeing)

<sup>48</sup> eg. ‘In an Act of Parliament which lays down only the main outlines of policy and indicates an intention of leaving it to the [executive] to work out that policy by specific regulation, a power to make regulations may have a wide ambit. Its ambit may be very different in an Act of Parliament which deals specifically and in detail with the subject matter to which the statute is addressed.’: *Morton -v- Union Steamship* (1951) 83 CLR 402, approved & applied in *NSW -v- Commonwealth* [2006] HCA 52, [415] per Gleeson CJ, Gummow, Hayne, Heydon & Crennan JJ.

<sup>49</sup> eg. *BHP Coal -v- Resources Minister (Qld)* [2011] QSC 246.

<sup>50</sup> *Ansett Transport v Commonwealth* [1977] HCA 71.

<sup>51</sup> eg. *BHP Coal -v- Resources Minister (Qld)* [2011] QSC 246, [3] & [68]-[69].

<sup>52</sup> *Mineralogy -v- WA (Award)* (2014).

Enforcement by government:

- disputes are usually negotiated/agreed, or (very unlikely) resort to arbitration, but if there is no resolution through these, then government usually only has the ‘nuclear option’ to terminate everything;<sup>53</sup>

A significant issue, however, is failure by Government to monitor/implement the terms which it had earlier agreed. The WA Auditor-General has issued reports identifying concerns about inadequate monitoring of State Agreements.<sup>54</sup> These reports have been used by proceedings in determining the actual realities of implementation (as opposed to the words in the statutory scheme).<sup>55</sup> There have been changes following Auditor General’s report, with increased reporting required of local content.

Enforcement by third parties:

- where State Agreement passed, third parties unlikely to be able to challenge the government’s action in negotiating and passing that law,<sup>56</sup> nor the company’s subsequent actions under the agreement;<sup>57</sup>
- references in a State Agreement to third parties can give them justiciable rights;<sup>58</sup>
- there are increasing moves toward greater agreement transparency and accountability<sup>59</sup> but not (yet) seeing legal implications in WA.

Third party (fundamental) rights are generally unimpeded by statute unless the parliament explicitly indicates otherwise,<sup>60</sup> and this approach would apply to State Agreements. There have been examples where the State Agreement (and covering statute) have restricted or removed third party rights.<sup>61</sup> There may well be changes in the interaction and efficacy of these types of domestic laws, with increasing international mechanisms addressing corporate impacts on human rights.<sup>62</sup>

---

<sup>53</sup> eg. *Aurukun Associates Agreement Repeal Act 2004* (QLD); Young & o’rs 2005.

<sup>54</sup> eg. WA Gov 2004, 20; WA Gov 2011, 21 & 31.

<sup>55</sup> eg. *Karajarri Traditional Lands Assn -v- ASJ Resources* [2012] NNTTA 18, [85] & [91].

<sup>56</sup> Southalan 2013, 172-173.

<sup>57</sup> Hillman 2006, 325. But contrast *Buurabalayji Thalanyji Corp -v- Onslow Salt* [2017] FCA 1240.

<sup>58</sup> eg. *Hancock Prospecting -v- BHP Minerals* [2003] WASCA 259, [69]-[70].

<sup>59</sup> eg. Pitman 2018; Cameron & Stanley 2017, 74.

<sup>60</sup> eg. *Lacey -v- Attorney-General (QLD)* [2011] HCA 10, [17]. Cases and circumstances detailed in AUS Gov 2016, [2.22]-[2.34].

<sup>61</sup> eg. *Diamond (Argyle Diamond Mines Joint Venture) Agreement Act 1981* (WA), s9; *Government Agreements Act 1979* (WA), s4.

<sup>62</sup> Recent publications assisting commercial lawyers to understand and advise on human rights aspects include IBA 2016 & IBA 2017.

Other contracts can give third party rights similar to State Agreement obligations, and related commitments could thereby be enforceable through that other contract.<sup>63</sup>

## 5 Three perspectives on State Agreements:

*Transparency International:*

‘The State Agreement process ... involves considerable Ministerial discretion. When combined with a lack of transparency, and the ability of industry to negotiate directly with politicians regarding projects, considerable risk is created of state and policy capture, and the potential for corruption is increased’<sup>64</sup>

*Hon. N Moore MLC*

‘The alternative is just a process in which Parliament has no involvement whatsoever. I therefore quite like state agreements as a general way of doing business in Western Australia; they have been extraordinarily successful. Indeed, most of the development of the Pilbara has been as a result of state agreement acts that have been put in place over time. Some have not worked; some have. Some have not proceeded; others have. In fact, I think there are some on the notice paper to be removed in due course as they are past their use-by date. However, as a general rule, state agreement acts have been very successful. We have a very successful resource sector as a result, in many cases, of state agreement acts that have been put in place over time. Anybody who disputes that is simply ignoring the reality of our economy at the present time’<sup>65</sup>

*IM4DC Research Report:*

‘Countries aiming to attract large mining operations may consider parliamentary-approved agreements to assist in regulation, and these agreements continue to be used in other countries with existing large operations. This paper identifies improvements for parliamentary-approved agreements in two broad areas:

**(1) In the negotiation and establishment of a new parliamentary-approved agreement:**

- i) the executive should assess the four areas of regulatory impact assessment (i.e. explain the context, explain the proposal, conduct cost-benefit analysis, and describe the public consultations) as part of its negotiations and formulation of any agreement terms, and then report this work and results to parliament;
- ii) international standards of social and environmental protection should be non-negotiable, and so any proposal that parliament endorse any variance from these standards, through approving an agreement, should be specifically identified for parliamentary consideration; and
- iii) parliament should be provided with adequate time and resources to be able to consider whether to approve any agreement, and that process may be assisted by committee deliberations.

**(2) In the operation of an existing parliamentary-approved agreement:** regular reports should be provided to parliament about the agreement's implementation.<sup>66</sup>

---

<sup>63</sup> eg. *Buurabayji Thalanyji -v- Onslow Salt* [2017] WASC 19, [34]-[35], [38] & order 1(d).

<sup>64</sup> TI Aus 2017, 23 (see, in response: Audeyev & Hancock 2018).

<sup>65</sup> Moore 2006, 6145.

<sup>66</sup> Southalan & o'rs 2015, [2].

## 6 References

- Albany Plantation Agreement (1993). Albany Hardwood Plantation Agreement, 25 November 1993, schedule to *Albany Hardwood Plantation Agreement Act 1993* (WA). Available <[https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law\\_a22.html](https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law_a22.html)> 20 Mar 2018.
- Alumina Refinery Agreement, (1961). Alumina Refinery Agreement, 7 June 1961, schedule to *Alumina Refinery Agreement Act 1961* (WA). Available <[https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law\\_a29.html](https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law_a29.html)> 20 Mar 2018.
- Animal Defenders -v- GBR (2013). Decision of European Court of Human Rights (ECHR), *Case of Animal Defenders International v. The United Kingdom* (2013, ECHR Application 48876/08) (22 April 2013). Available <<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-119244>> 10 Mar 2015.
- Ansett Transport v Commonwealth (1977). Decision of High Court (AUS), *Ansett Transport Industries (Operations) Pty Ltd v Commonwealth* [1977] HCA 71; 139 CLR 54 (23 December 1977). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/HCA/1977/71.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/HCA/1977/71.html)> 22 Mar 2016.
- Argyle Diamond Act 1981 (AUS). Western Australian Parliament, *Diamond (Argyle Diamond Mines Joint Venture) Agreement Act 1981*. Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/consol\\_act/ddmjvaa1981484/](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/consol_act/ddmjvaa1981484/)> 25 Sep 2009.
- Audeyev, S & Hancock, B, 2018. 'Transparency International Report on Corruption Risk in Mining Processes' 36(3) *Australian Resources and Energy Law Journal*.
- Aurukun Act 2004 (AUS). Queensland Parliament, *Aurukun Associates Agreement Repeal Act 2004*, No 5 of 2004, 13 May 2004. Available <[www.legislation.qld.gov.au/LEGISLTN/ACTS/2004/04AC005.pdf](http://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/LEGISLTN/ACTS/2004/04AC005.pdf)> 8 Nov 2011.
- AUS Gov, 2013. Productivity Commission, *Major Project Development Assessment Processes*, Research Report, 10 Dec 2013. Canberra: Australian Government. Available <[www.pc.gov.au/projects/study/major-projects/report](http://www.pc.gov.au/projects/study/major-projects/report)> 8 April 2014.
- , 2016. Australian Law Reform Commission, *Traditional Rights and Freedoms - Encroachments by Commonwealth Laws*, Final Report (ALRC Report 129), 2 March 2016. Sydney (AUS): Australian Government. Available <[https://www.alrc.gov.au/sites/default/files/pdfs/publications/alrc\\_129\\_final\\_report.pdf](https://www.alrc.gov.au/sites/default/files/pdfs/publications/alrc_129_final_report.pdf)> 2 Mar 2016.
- AUS Plmnt, 2012. Senate Standing Committee for the Scrutiny of Bills, *Final Report of Inquiry into the future role and direction of the Senate Scrutiny of Bills Committee*, 10 May 2012. Canberra: Australian Parliament. Available <[https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary\\_Business/Committees/Senate/Scrutiny\\_of\\_Bills/Completed\\_inquiries/futuredirection2011/report/index](https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Scrutiny_of_Bills/Completed_inquiries/futuredirection2011/report/index)> 20 Mar 2018.
- Barnett, C, 2014. *Australian Mining in Africa*. Paper presented to 'Investing in African Mining Indaba' (Premier of Western Australia), Cape Town (ZAF), 5 February 2014. Available <<https://www.miningindaba.com/ehome/index.php?eventid=174097&&eventid=84507&tabid=186544>> 20 Mar 2018.
- BBI Rail Agreement (2017). Railway (BBI Rail Aus Pty Ltd) Agreement, 23 January 2017, schedule to *Railway (BBI Rail Aus Pty Ltd) Agreement Act 2017* (WA). Available <[https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law\\_a147167.html](https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law_a147167.html)> 20 Mar 2018.
- BHP Coal -v- Resources Minister (Qld) (2011). Decision of Queensland Supreme Court (AUS), *BHP Coal Pty Ltd & Ors v Minister for Natural Resources, Mines, Energy and Minister for Trade* [2011] QSC 246 (18 August 2011). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/qld/QSC/2011/246.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/qld/QSC/2011/246.html)> 27 Jan 2013.
- Browse Agreement (2012). Browse (Land) Agreement, 12 December 2012, schedule to *Browse (Land) Agreement Act 2012* (WA). Available <[https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law\\_a146935.html](https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law_a146935.html)> 25 Jul 2017.
- Burswood Agreement (1985). Casino (Burswood Island) Agreement, 25 Mar 1985, schedule to *Casino (Burswood Island) Agreement Act 1985* (WA). Available <[www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main\\_mrtitle\\_118\\_homepage.html](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_118_homepage.html)> 10 Jan 2013.
- Buurabayji Thalanyji -v- Onslow Salt (2017a). Decision of Western Australian Supreme Court (AUS), *Buurabayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation -v- Onslow Salt Pty Ltd* [2017] WASC 19 (31 January 2017). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASC/2017/19.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASC/2017/19.html)> 23 Mar 2017.
- Buurabayji Thalanyji Corp -v- Onslow Salt (2017b). Decision of Federal Court (AUS), *Buurabayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation v Onslow Salt Pty Ltd and State of WA* [2017] FCA 1240 (20 October 2017). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/FCA/2017/1240.html>> 7 Mar 2018.
- Buzzacott -v- Sustainability Minister (2013). Decision of Full Court of the Federal Court (AUS), *Buzzacott v Minister for Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities* [2013] FCAFC 111; 215 FCR 301 (8 October 2013). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/FCAFC/2013/111.html>> 20 Mar 2018.
- Cameron, P & Stanley, M (eds.), 2017. *Oil, Gas, and Mining*. Washington DC: World Bank. Available <<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/26130>> 18 Jul 2017.
- Canning Basin Agreement (2012). Natural Gas (Canning Basin Joint Venture) Agreement, 7 Nov 2012, schedule to *Natural Gas (Canning Basin Joint Venture) Agreement Act 2013* (WA). Available <[www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main\\_mrtitle\\_13065\\_homepage.html](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_13065_homepage.html)> 19 Jan 2015.
- CERD Decision 2(54) on Australia (1999). Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, *Decision 2(54) on Australia*, UN doc A/54/18, IIA, p5, 18 March 1999. Available

- <[www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/%28SymbolSearch%29/1b14be971da31f368025686a00522889?Opendocument](http://www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/%28SymbolSearch%29/1b14be971da31f368025686a00522889?Opendocument)> 6 Mar 2010.
- Cockburn Cement Agreement (1971). Cement Works (Cockburn Cement Ltd) Agreement, 18 February 1971, schedule to *Cement Works (Cockburn Cement Limited) Agreement Act 1971* (WA). Available <[https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law\\_a112.html](https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law_a112.html)> 20 Mar 2018.
- Comalco -v- Att Gen (QLD) (1974). Decision of Queensland Court of Appeal (AUS), *Commonwealth Aluminium Corporation Ltd v Attorney-General (Qld)* [1976] Qd R 231 (19 Dec 1974).
- Commissioner State Revenue -v- OZ Minerals (2013). Decision of Western Australian Court of Appeal (AUS), *Commissioner of State Revenue -v- OZ Minerals Ltd* [2013] WASCA 239; 46 WAR 156 (17 October 2013). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2013/239.html>> 12 Mar 2018.
- Commonwealth - State Financial Relations Agreement (1927). Commonwealth - State Financial Relations Agreement, 12 December 1927, schedule to *Financial Agreement Act 1928* (WA). Available <[https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law\\_a271.html](https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law_a271.html)> 20 Mar 2018.
- Dampier Salt Agreement (1967). Dampier Solar Salt Industry Agreement, 21 November 1967, schedule to *Dampier Solar Salt Industry Agreement Act 1967* (WA). Available <[https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law\\_a205.html](https://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law_a205.html)> 20 Mar 2018.
- Fitzgerald, A, 2005. 'Mining Agreements in the Regulation of the Australian Minerals Sector', in Bastida, E, Wälde, T & Warden-Fernandez, J (eds.) *International and Comparative Mineral Law and Policy*, pp681-696. The Hague: Kluwer Law International.
- FMG Agreement (2005). FMG Chichester Pty Ltd Agreement, 1 Dec 2005, schedule to *Iron ore (FMG Chichester Pty Ltd) Agreement Act 2006* (WA). Available <[www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main\\_mrtitle\\_467\\_homepage.html](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_467_homepage.html)> 13 Mar 2008.
- Forrest & Forrest P/L -v- Wilson (2017). Decision of High Court (AUS), *Forrest & Forrest Pty Ltd v Wilson* [2017] HCA 30 (17 August 2017). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/viewdoc/au/cases/cth/HCA//2017/30.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/viewdoc/au/cases/cth/HCA//2017/30.html)> 8 Sep 2017.
- Genbow P/L -v- Griffin Coal (2013). Decision of Western Australian Warden's Court (AUS), *Genbow Pty Ltd v The Griffin Coal Mining Company Pty Ltd* [2013] WAMW 11 (10 May 2013). Available <[www.dmp.wa.gov.au/Documents/Wardens-Court/2013WAMW11.pdf](http://www.dmp.wa.gov.au/Documents/Wardens-Court/2013WAMW11.pdf)> 12 Mar 2018.
- Gorgon Agreement (2003). Gorgon Gas Processing and Infrastructure Project Agreement, 9 September 2003, schedule to *Barrow Island Act 2003* (WA). Available <[http://www5.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/consol\\_act/bia2003145/](http://www5.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/consol_act/bia2003145/)> 14 Feb 2015.
- Government Agreements Act 1979 (AUS). Western Australian Parliament, *Government Agreements Act 1979*, No 112 of 1979, 21 Dec 1979. Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/consol\\_act/gaa1979241/](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/consol_act/gaa1979241/)> 27 Oct 2008.
- Graham -v- St Ives Gold (2016). Decision of High Court (AUS), *Graham & Ors on Behalf of the Ngadjin People v St Ives Gold Mining Company Pty Limited & Ors* [2016] HCATrans 241 (14 October 2016). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/HCATrans/2016/241.html>> 12 Mar 2018.
- Hancock Prospecting -v- BHP Minerals (2003). Decision of Western Australian Supreme Court (AUS), *Hancock Prospecting P/L -v- BHP Minerals P/L* [2003] WASCA 259 (6 November 2003). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2003/259.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2003/259.html)> 6 Jun 2008.
- Hancock Prospecting -v- Wright Prospecting (2012). Decision of Western Australia Court of Appeal (AUS), *Hancock Prospecting Pty Ltd -v- Wright Prospecting Pty Ltd* [2012] WASCA 216; 45 WAR 29 (30 October 2012). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2012/216.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2012/216.html)> 27 Jan 2013.
- Hillman, R, 2006. 'The future role for State Agreements in Western Australia' 25 *Australian Resources & Energy LJ* 293-329. Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/AURELAWJ/2006/58.pdf](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/AURELAWJ/2006/58.pdf)> 9 Jun 2014.
- Hirst v GBR (2005). Decision of European Court of Human Rights (ECHR), *Case of Hirst v. The United Kingdom (No. 2)* Application no 74025/01 (6 October 2005). Available <<http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-70442>> 10 Mar 2015.
- Horsley, J, 2013. 'Conceptualising the State, Governance and Development in a Semi-peripheral Resource Economy: the evolution of state agreements in Western Australia' 44(3) *Australian Geographer* 283-303.
- Hunt, M; Kavenagh, T & Hunt, J, 2015. *Hunt on Mining Law of Western Australia*. Perth (AUS): Federation Press.
- IBA, 2016. IBA Council, *IBA Practical Guide on Business and Human Rights for Business Lawyers*, 28 May 2016. Barcelona: International Bar Association. Available <<http://tinyurl.com/hm3y9hu>> 8 June 2016.
- , 2017. Zerk, J, *Handbook for lawyers on business and human rights*, (first two chapters online) Legal Policy & Research Unit, September 2017. London: International Bar Association. Available <<https://www.ibanet.org/Handbook-for-lawyers/Contents.aspx>> 20 Mar 2018.
- Iron Agreements Amendment Act 2011 (AUS). Western Australian Parliament, *Iron Ore Agreements Legislation Amendment Act 2011*, No. 61 of 2011. Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/num\\_act/ioalaa201161o2011443/](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/num_act/ioalaa201161o2011443/)> 10 Jan 2013.
- Karajarri Traditional Lands Assn -v- ASJ Resources (2012). Decision of National Native Title Tribunal (AUS), *Karajarri Traditional Lands Association (Aboriginal Corporation) / Western Australia / ASJ Resources Pty Ltd* [2012] NNTTA 18; 275 FLR 215 (24 February 2012). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/NNTTA/2012/18.html>> 20 Mar 2018.

- Lacey -v- Attorney-General (QLD) (2011). Decision of High Court (AUS), *Lacey v Attorney-General of Queensland* [2011] HCA 10; 242 CLR 573 (7 April 2011). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/HCA/2011/10.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/HCA/2011/10.html)> 23 Mar 2016.
- Länsman -v- FIN (1994). Decision of Human Rights Committee (ICCPR), *Länsman (Muotkatunturi Herdsmen Committee) -v- Finland* (UN doc CCPR/C/52/D/511/1992) (8 November 1994). Available <[www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/%28Symbol%29/7e86ee6323192d2f802566e30034e775?Opendocument](http://www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/%28Symbol%29/7e86ee6323192d2f802566e30034e775?Opendocument)> 13 Aug 2011.
- Mahuika -v- NZL (2000). Decision of Human Rights Committee (ICCPR), *Apirana Mahuika et al. -v- New Zealand* (UN doc CCPR/C/70/D/547/1993) (16 November 2000). Available <<http://juris.ohchr.org/Search/Details/897>> 24 Apr 2015.
- Margetts -v- Campbell-Foulkes (1979). Decision of Western Australian Supreme Court (AUS), *Margetts v Campbell-Foulkes* [1979] WASC 250 (29 November 1979). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/download.cgi/cgi-bin/download.cgi/download/au/cases/wa/WASC/1979/250.pdf>> 9 Mar 2018.
- McGowan -v- Minister for Regional Development & Mineralogy P/L (2011). Decision of Office of the Information Commissioner WA (AUS), *Mark McGowan and Minister for Regional Development; Lands and Mineralogy Pty Ltd, Re* [2011] WAICmr 2 (24 January 2011). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/wa/WAICmr/2011/2.html>> 20 Mar 2018.
- Mineralogy P/L -v- Sino Iron (2017). Decision of Full Court of the Federal Court (AUS), *Mineralogy Pty Ltd v Sino Iron* [2017] FCAFC 55 (30 March 2017). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/FCAFC/2017/55.html>> 7 Mar 2018.
- Mineralogy -v- WA (2005). Decision of Western Australian Court of Appeal (AUS), *Mineralogy Pty Ltd, International Minerals Pty Ltd, Austeel Pty Ltd, Balmoral Iron Pty Ltd, Bellswater Pty Ltd, Brunei Steel Pty Ltd and Korean Steel Pty Ltd -v- The State of Western Australia and the Honourable Clive Brown MLA* [2005] WASC 69 (14 April 2005). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2005/69.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2005/69.html)> 23 Sep 2010.
- Mineralogy -v- WA (Award) (2014). Commercial Arbitration Act 1985 (WA) *Mineralogy Pty Ltd, International Minerals Pty Ltd -v- State of Western Australia (Award)*, Mineralogy -v- Western Australia (Award), decision of McHugh, M (20 May 2014). Available <[www.parliament.qld.gov.au/docs/find.aspx?id=5414T5345](http://www.parliament.qld.gov.au/docs/find.aspx?id=5414T5345)> 9 Jun 2014.
- Moore, N, 2006. Leader of the Opposition, *Second Reading Debate: Iron Ore (FMG Chichester Pty Ltd) Agreement Bill*, Hansard COUNCIL, 19 Sep 2006. Perth (AUS): WA Parliament. Available <[www.parliament.wa.gov.au/Hansard/hansard.nsf/0/940C5DF64D16EC8EC825761700354784/\\$File/C37%20S1%2020060919%20All.pdf](http://www.parliament.wa.gov.au/Hansard/hansard.nsf/0/940C5DF64D16EC8EC825761700354784/$File/C37%20S1%2020060919%20All.pdf)> 24 Dec 2013.
- Morley Shopping Centre Agreement (1992). Morley Shopping Centre Redevelopment Agreement, 19 November 1992, schedule to *Morley Shopping Centre Redevelopment Agreement Act 1992* (WA). Available <[http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law\\_a524.html](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law_a524.html)> 20 Mar 2018.
- NOR NCP, 2011. Norges OECD-kontaktpunkt, *Complaint from The Future In Our Hands (FIOH) against Intex Resources Asa and the Mindoro Nickel Project*, Final Statement, 30 November 2011. Oslo: Norwegian National Contact Point for the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. Available <[http://nettsteder.regjeringen.no/ansvarlignaringsliv-en/files/2013/12/intex\\_fivh\\_final.pdf](http://nettsteder.regjeringen.no/ansvarlignaringsliv-en/files/2013/12/intex_fivh_final.pdf)> 23 May 2017.
- , 2016. Norges OECD-kontaktpunkt, *Jijnjevaerie Saami village – Statkraft SCA Vind AB (SSVAB)*, Final Statement, 8 February 2016. Oslo: Norwegian National Contact Point for the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. Available <[http://nettsteder.regjeringen.no/ansvarlignaringsliv-en/files/2013/12/08022016\\_Final\\_statement\\_ENG.pdf](http://nettsteder.regjeringen.no/ansvarlignaringsliv-en/files/2013/12/08022016_Final_statement_ENG.pdf)> 1 May 2017.
- NRGI, 2014. Natural Resource Governance Institute, *Natural Resource Charter*, 2nd edition, 12 June 2014. London. Available <<https://resourcegovernance.org/analysis-tools/publications/natural-resource-charter-2nd-ed>> 10 Dec 2017.
- NSW -v- Commonwealth (2006). Decision of High Court (AUS), *New South Wales v Commonwealth* [2006] HCA 52; 229 CLR 1 (14 November 2006). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/HCA/2006/52.html>> 20 Mar 2018.
- Olympic Dam Agreement (1982). Roxby Downs Indenture, 3 March 1982, schedule to *Roxby Downs (Indenture Ratification) Act 1982* (SA). Available <[www.legislation.sa.gov.au/LZ/C/A/ROXBY%20DOWNS%20\(INDENTURE%20RATIFICATION\)%20ACT%201982/CURRENT/1982.52.UN.PDF](http://www.legislation.sa.gov.au/LZ/C/A/ROXBY%20DOWNS%20(INDENTURE%20RATIFICATION)%20ACT%201982/CURRENT/1982.52.UN.PDF)> 14 May 2008.
- Ord Hydro Agreement (1994). Ord River Hydro Energy Project Agreement, 26 October 1994, schedule to *Ord River Hydro Energy Project Agreement Act 1994* (WA). Available <[http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law\\_a565.html](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/law_a565.html)> 20 Mar 2018.
- Pilbara Infrastructure -v- ACT (2012). Decision of High Court (AUS), *The Pilbara Infrastructure Pty Ltd v Australian Competition Tribunal; National Competition Council v Hamersley Iron Pty Ltd & Robe River Mining Co Pty Ltd* [2012] HCA 36; 246 CLR 379 (14 September 2012). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/HCA/2012/36.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/HCA/2012/36.html)> 19 Jan 2013.
- Pitman, R, 2018. *Total Becomes First Major Oil Company to Support Contract Transparency*, 14 February 2018. New York (USA): Natural Resource Governance Institute. Available <<https://resourcegovernance.org/blog/total-becomes-first-major-oil-company-support-contract-transparency>> 8 Mar 2018.
- Re: Minister for Resources; ex p Cazaly Iron (2007). Decision of Western Australian Court of Appeal (AUS), *Re: Minister for Resources; Ex Parte Cazaly Iron Pty Ltd* [2007] WASC 175; 34 WAR 403 (28 August 2007). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2007/175.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2007/175.html)> 11 Jun 2009.

- Roy Hill Infrastructure Agreement (2010). Roy Hill Infrastructure Agreement, 28 Oct 2010, schedule to *Railway (Roy Hill Infrastructure Pty Ltd) Agreement Act 2010* (WA). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/num\\_act/rhiplaa201043o2010590/](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/legis/wa/num_act/rhiplaa201043o2010590/)> 5 Nov 2011.
- Southalan, J, 2013. 'Parliamentary-ratified agreements in the resources sector', in Dharmananda, K & Firiros, L (eds.) *Long Term Contracts*, pp161-186. Sydney (AUS): Federation Press.
- , 2016. 'Human rights and business lawyers: The 2011 watershed' 90(12) *Australian Law Journal* 889-907. Available <<http://sites.thomsonreuters.com.au/journals/2016/11/18/australian-law-journal-update-vol-90-pt-12/>> 22 Nov 2016.
- Southalan, J & o'rs, 2015. University of Western Australia - Faculty of Law, *Parliaments and Mining Agreements: Reviving the Numbed Arm of Government*, IM4DC Action Research Report, 13 April 2015. Perth (AUS): International Mining for Development Centre. Available <<http://im4dc.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Parliamentary-Agreements-Complete-Report.pdf>> 14 April 2015.
- TI Aus, 2017. Langley, H, *Corruption Risks: Mining Approvals in Australia*, Mining for Sustainable Development Programme, October 2017. Sydney (AUS): Transparency International Australia. Available <[http://transparency.org.au/tia/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/M4SD-Australia-Report\\_Final\\_Web.pdf](http://transparency.org.au/tia/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/M4SD-Australia-Report_Final_Web.pdf)> 27 Feb 2018.
- Victorian Stevedoring -v- Dignan (1931). Decision of High Court (AUS), *Victorian Stevedoring and General Contracting Co Pty Ltd and Meakes v Dignan* [1931] HCA 34; 46 CLR 73 (2 November 1931). Available <<http://classic.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/HCA/1931/34.html>> 20 Mar 2018.
- WA -v- Brown (2014). Decision of High Court (AUS), *State of Western Australia v Brown (Ngarla People)* [2014] HCA 8; 253 CLR 507 (12 March 2014). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/HCA/2014/8.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/HCA/2014/8.html)> 22 Mar 2016.
- WA -v- Graham (2016). Decision of Full Federal Court (AUS), *State of Western Australia v Graham (N'gadju People)* [2016] FCAFC 47; 242 FCR 231 (29 March 2016). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/FCAFC/2016/47.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/cth/FCAFC/2016/47.html)> 29 Mar 2017.
- WA Gov, 2004. Auditor General for Western Australia, *Developing the State: The Management of State Agreement Acts*, Report No 5 of 2004, Jun 2004. Perth (AUS): Western Australian Government. Available <[www.audit.wa.gov.au/reports/pdfreports/report2004\\_05.pdf](http://www.audit.wa.gov.au/reports/pdfreports/report2004_05.pdf)> 6 Jun 2008.
- , 2010. Department of Treasury & Finance, *Regulatory Impact Assessment Guidelines for Western Australia*, Jul 2010. Perth (AUS): Western Australian Government. Available <[www.treasury.wa.gov.au/cms/uploadedFiles/Treasury/Economic\\_reform/Regulatory\\_Gatekeeping/ria\\_guidelines\\_july\\_2010.pdf](http://www.treasury.wa.gov.au/cms/uploadedFiles/Treasury/Economic_reform/Regulatory_Gatekeeping/ria_guidelines_july_2010.pdf)> 21 Jan 2013.
- , 2011. Auditor General for Western Australia, *Ensuring Compliance with Conditions on Mining*, Report No 8 of 2011, 28 September 2011. Perth (AUS): Western Australian Government. Available <[https://audit.wa.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/report2011\\_08.pdf](https://audit.wa.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/report2011_08.pdf)> 3 Jun 2014.
- Warnick, L, 1998. 'State Agreements' 62(11) *Australian LJ* 878-906.
- Wright Prospecting -v- Hancock Prospecting (2016). Decision of Western Australian Court of Appeal (AUS), *Wright Prospecting Pty Ltd -v- Hancock Prospecting Pty Ltd* [2016] WASCA 50; 49 WAR 476 (18 March 2016). Available <[www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2016/50.html](http://www.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/sinodisp/au/cases/wa/WASCA/2016/50.html)> 9 Jun 2016.
- Young, D; Brockett, R & Smart, J, 2005. 'Australia Sovereign Risk and the Petroleum Industry' 45 *Australian Petroleum Production and Exploration Association J* 191-201. Available <[http://younglaw.com.au/doc/Sovereign\\_Risk\\_in\\_the\\_Petroleum\\_Industry\\_Young\\_d\\_Brockett\\_R\\_Smart\\_J\\_APP\\_EA\\_2005.pdf](http://younglaw.com.au/doc/Sovereign_Risk_in_the_Petroleum_Industry_Young_d_Brockett_R_Smart_J_APP_EA_2005.pdf)> 10 Feb 2013.